I am a Tier 3 sex offender. I would first like to address how unconstitutional the SOR is. A sex offender paid for their crime, and the registry is as stated, "cruel and unusual punishment" along with the 1000 foot "safety zone" living/work restrictions. According to statistics, 97% of sex offenders NEVER reoffend. Once a sentence is given to a sex offender, and that sentence is carried out, that should be the end, not an ongoing sentence. Do they have this type of registry for drug dealers, murders, or multiple of offenders of armed robbery?? One would think that neighbors would want to know this background information about their neighbors. Wouldn't you? There are testing tools that can determine the risk level of someone reoffending. I highly recommend that the state use these tools. There is no "Due Process" for the SOR.

"AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACT IS NOT A LAW. IT CONFERS NO RIGHTS; IT IMPOSES NO DUTIES; AFFORDS NO PROTECTION; IT CREATES NO OFFICE. IT IS IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, AS INOPERATIVE AS THOUGH IT HAD NEVER BEEN PASSED".......NORTON VS SHELBY COUNTY 118 U.S 425

"WHERE RIGHTS ARE SECURED BY THE CONSTITUTION ARE INVOLVED, THERE CAN BE NO RULE-MAKING OR LEGISLATION WHICH WOULD ABROGATE THEM"....... MIRANDA VS ARIZONA 384
US 436

"A LAW REPUNGANT TO THE CONSTITUTION IS VOID. AN ACT OF CONGRESS REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION CANNOT BECOME A LAW. THE CONSTITUTION SUPERSEDES ALL OTHER LAWS AND INDIVIDUAL'S RIGHTS SHALL BE LIBERALLY ENFORCED IN FAVOR OF HIM, THE CLEARLY INTENDED AND EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BENEFICIARY.....MARBURY VS MADISON 5 US 137

"THE GENERAL MISCONCEPTION IS THAT ANY STATUTE PASSED BY LEGISLATORS BEARING THE APPEARANCE OF LAW CONSTITUTES THE LAW OF THE LAND. THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND ANY STATUE TO BE VALID MUST BE IN AGREEMENT. IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR BOTH THE CONSTITUTION AND LAW VIOLATING IT TO BE VALID; ONE MUST PREVAIL. THIS IS SUCCINCTLY STATED AS FOLLOWS: THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UN CONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE, THOUGH HAVING THE FORM OF THE NAME OF A LAW, IS IN NO REALITY A LAW, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFFECTIVE FOR ANY PURPOSE; SINCE UNCONSTITUTIONALY DATES FROM THE TIME OF ITS ENACTMENT, AND NOT MERELY FROM THE DATE OF THE DECISION SO BRANDING IT. AN UNCONSTITUTION LAW, IN LEGAL CONTEMPLATION, IS AS UNOPERATIVE AS IF IT HAD NEVER PASSED."

"SINCE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW IS VOID, THE GENRAL PRINCIPALS FOLLOW THAT IT IMPOSES NO DUTIES, CONFERS NO RIGHTS, CREATES NO OFFICE, BESTOWS NO POWER OR AUTHORITY ON ANYONE, AFFORDS NO PROTECTION, AND JUSTIFIES NO ACTS PERFORMED UNDER IT. "NO ONE IS BOUND TO OBEY AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW, AND NO COURTS ARE BOUND TO ENFORCE IT. THE GENERAL RULE IS THAT AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE WHETHER FEDERAL OR STATE, THOUGH HAVING SOME FORM OF LAW, IS IN REALTY NO LAW, BUT IS WHOLLY VOID AND INEFECTIVE OF ITS PURPOSE......16 AMERICAN JURISPRUDINCE 2d, SEC 177

The 1000 foot "safety zone" rule is crippling. One size does not fit all. I am not naïve to the fact that there are monsters out there, however it should be evaluated by individual. I was never violent and there was never any physical contact in my case, yet I was convicted and put on the registry. Again, I had NEVER had any prior brush with the law before this incident. I have paid a heavy price to myself, my spouse and family. One more point I would like to make, when I was released I signed a form from the State of Michigan with the "explanation of my duties to register as a sex offender." #10 stated..... I was required by law to pay a ONETIME registration fee of \$50.00, how and why did this change to a \$50 per year fee? I signed an written agreement as to this and how was this contract come to be nil and void ???

Thank you for your time and I urge you to take your time and do your homework regarding the SOR.

Joseph Saleh